



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/760,377	01/12/2001	Robert E. Dvorak	BLFR 1003-1	1255
22470	7590	01/28/2008		
HAYNES BEFFEL & WOLFELD LLP			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 366			VAN DOREN, BETH	
HALF MOON BAY, CA 94019				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/28/2008 PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/760,377

Applicant(s)

DVORAK ET AL.

Examiner

BETH VAN DOREN

Art Unit

3623

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ms. Beth Van Doren (PTO Personnel).

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Ernest Beffel (Applicant's Representative).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 96.

Identification of prior art discussed: Landvater (U.S. 6,609,101), Huang (US 6,151,582).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Beffel discussed claim 96, specifically in terms of the after final amendments to claim 96 on 12/05/07 (not entered). Mr. Beffel stated the novelty of the claim was the unified causal calendar, not taught by either Landvater or Huang references. Examiner and applicant discussed claim language.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Beth Van Doren/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3623

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.